APPENDIX D: LITTERING ENFORCEMENT IN DC

In December 2008, the Council of the District of Columbia enacted the Anti-Littering Amendment Act of 2008. The legislation provided new tools to support the enforcement of littering. Police officers, with round-the-clock presence on the streets of the District, can be an important part of the routine enforcement necessary to keep our city clean by deterring people from littering. In addition, in January 2011 the final legislative change that was needed to begin littering enforcement became effective. In order to handle any littering tickets issued to juveniles, the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH), which adjudicates littering tickets, needed juvenile confidentiality requirements waived for these civil tickets. With this legislation in place, the Metropolitan Police Department (MPD) proceeded with its pilot for littering enforcement.

General Littering

The Anti-Littering Amendment Act of 2008 amended the existing littering statute to establish an affirmative requirement that a person stopped for a non-traffic littering violation provide the officer with his or her accurate name and address for the citation. Without accurate identifying information, the government's ability to hold violators accountable for this civil offense is limited. Violators who do not provide a valid name and address to an officer citing them for a civil non-traffic littering violation can be arrested. The criminal offense of refusing to provide an accurate name and address is adjudicated by the Superior Court of the District of Columbia, with a penalty, upon conviction, of not less than \$100 or more than \$250. The underlying littering violation is adjudicated by OAH. The fine for this littering violation is \$75.

The Department, in partnership with OAH, developed the form, process, and tracking to be used for civil violations written by MPD and adjudicated by OAH. Because the ticket and adjudication process with OAH was new, enforcement began with a pilot in the Fourth District. The pilot is important so that OAH and MPD can ensure that a member of the MPD is notified and attends every hearing, and that police officers throughout the Department are trained to write tickets that will be supported in adjudication. In order to evaluate the effectiveness of the process and training, a sufficient number of tickets must go through the entire process, with violators either: (1) admitting the violation and mailing in the fine; (2) denying the violation and requesting a hearing in person; or (3) admitting the violation with an explanation and requesting a hearing by mail.

The pilot launched in the Fourth District in May 2011, and was expanded to the Sixth District on August 1, 2012. In each pilot, warning Notices of Violation (NOVs), or tickets, were issued for the first month during an educational phase. The Department distributed informational flyers to the community and posted them on its website in English, Amharic, Chinese, French, Korean, Spanish, and Vietnamese. In order to issue a ticket, an officer must witness the litterer intentionally or carelessly drop rubbish, waste matter, refuse, garbage, trash, debris, dead animals or other discarded materials, on public space, in waterways, or on another person's private property. In addition, of-

Disposition	4D	6D	Citywide
NOVs Issued	2	74	76
Defaulted	2	47	49
Rejected/Lost	0	14	14
Dismissed	0	11	11

*Figures do not add up to the number of tickets issued in the calendar year because tickets or hearings may still be pending.

APPENDIX D: LITTERING ENFORCEMENT IN DC

ficers are encouraged to first ask the violator to pick up and dispose of the litter. If the individual complies, no ticket will be issued.

In 2013, 76 NOVs were issued. Of these, 14 were rejected—a 52 percent reduction from 2012—because a particular field on the ticket was left blank. Although this field may be blank on traffic tickets, it is not allowed on the littering tickets, an issue the Department continues to address in training. Of the 62 valid tickets, 48 have gone into default, for a 79 percent default rate.

Over the course of the entire pilot, 4 out of 5 violators have simply ignored the NOVs issued to them. When the proposed legislation was discussed in Council, MPD cautioned that there was likely to be a low compliance rate with civil violations for which an individual has no property interest or privilege to protect—such as real property, a professional license, or a driver's license. In order for littering enforcement to be effective, the government must be able to hold violators accountable for their actions. Without repercussions for an offense, the government's ability to hold violators accountable for this civil offense is limited, and the tickets may not be enough of an incentive to motivate people to change their behavior. This is important to recognize because the Council used the same enforcement scheme as the model for the Marijuana Possession Decriminalization Amendment Act of 2014, which establishes the same type of civil violation with a \$25 fine for possession of an ounce or less of marijuana.

Littering from a Vehicle

The anti-littering law also established a new violation for littering from a vehicle. It provides that "No person shall dispose or cause or allow the disposal of litter from a vehicle upon any public or private property. Litter shall include all rubbish, waste matter, refuse, garbage, trash, debris, dead animals, or other discarded materials of every kind and description." (DC Municipal Regulations § 18-2221.6). The penalty for the offense is a \$100 fine, with any appeals adjudicated by the Department of Motor Vehicles. Since this violation is enforced and adjudicated similar to other civil traffic violations, it could be and was immediately implemented. And, contrary to the non-traffic littering violations, there are well known repercussions for ignoring traffic tickets so we have a higher compliance rate. The number of tickets issued and dismissed in calendar year 2013 is provided below.

2013 Littering From a Vehicle Tickets

Unit	Issued	Dismissed
1 st District	13	1
2nd District	3	0
3rd District	12	0
4th District	13	4
5th District	4	2
6th District	14	4
7th District	5	1
Other MPD	5	0
Total	69	12