

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES**

**DESIGN-BUILD SERVICES FOR
ELEVEN DPR PLAYGROUNDS**

Solicitation #:DCAM-13-CS-0106

**Addendum No. 2
Issued: February 8, 2013**

This Addendum Number 02 is issued by e-mail on February 8, 2013. Except as modified hereby, the Request for Proposals (“RFP”) remains unmodified.

Item #1

Requests for Information: Below are RFIs received and the Department’s response:

1. Are we required to use the playground installer specified for each park or will DGS accept equivalent playground systems (for example, equivalent systems from installers that have been specified on other parks for this RFP)? The playground equipment proposals we received from the specified installer in the last round of 6 parks were higher than the RFP equipment allowances. Safety surfacing costs were also quite high compared to other qualified manufacturers. Allowing other manufacturers/installers to provide us with proposals will enable more competitive numbers for the District.
Response: Provide the equipment that has been specified in the RFP.
2. Installing a green roof on top of picnic shelters and other structures will add 7-10 tons of dead load to the roof design. Would DGS like bidders to include an allowance for a structural engineer for these designs? **Response: Bidders should be able to provide a turn-key shelter with a Green Roof from a manufacturer. The bidder will need to include all costs to provide a green roof shelter.**
3. Newark: Path labeled as “accessible asphalt path through play areas” appears to run under a proposed swing set, and appears to terminate at a fence (rather than a gate). Please clarify the design. Is the entire path intended to be asphalt? If not, where does the asphalt terminate and safety surface begin? **Response: Yes, provide an asphalt path.**
4. Newark: Should we include a concrete curb around asphalt walkways (i.e. to achieve smooth curved designs)? **Response: Yes, provide a concrete curb flush to top asphalt surface to create a smooth transition.**
5. For the aquatic pad at Hillcrest:
 - (i) Is there an available electrical specification? **Response: No**

- (ii) Is this to be a recirculation system again? **Response: Yes**
 - (iii) What is the size of water line? **Response: Information is not available**
 - (iv) Will the recirculation system be in an existing building or will an in ground vault be required? **Response: A vault will be required**
 - (v) Do you all have any idea how large the pad should be? **Response: No, design per the concept plan.**
6. In addition to the already specified courts, will bids be accepted to replace the deteriorating athletic lighting on the following fields:
 - a. Emory Recreation Center Baseball/Football/Soccer Field and Basketball Courts **Response: No, follow the scope of work and concept plan.**
 - b. Benning Park Recreation Center Softball, Multi-purpose, Tennis, Basketball, Pool and Playground **Response: No, follow the scope of work and concept plan.**
 - c. Harrison Park Baseball/Multi-purpose Field **Response: No, follow the scope of work and concept plan.**
 - d. Banneker Park basketball courts and pool lighting. **Response: No, follow the scope of work and concept plan.**
 7. The new LED lighting on the baseball field at Kennedy Recreation Center is appropriate for security purposes only and will not meet Recreational Lighting Standards. Is Musco Athletic Field Lighting requested for the Kennedy Recreation Center baseball field? **Response: No, follow the scope of work and concept plan.**
 8. The Fort Stevens soccer/multi-purpose field appears to have heavy use and does not have athletic field lighting. Would you like a quote for installing new lighting on the soccer field? **Response: No, follow the scope of work and concept plan.**
 9. The Frederick Douglas baseball/soccer/multi-purpose field appears to have heavy use and does not have athletic field lighting. Would you like a quote for installing new lighting on the baseball/soccer field? **Response: No, follow the scope of work and concept plan.**
 10. The Hamilton soccer field appears to have heavy use and does not have athletic field lighting. Would you like a quote for installing new lighting on the soccer field? **Response: No, follow the scope of work and concept plan.**
 11. Would you like to include lighting on the Hillcrest Recreation Center new basketball and existing tennis court? **Response: No, follow the scope of work and concept plan.**
 12. Would you like to light the tennis courts at Newark Community Gardens? **Response: No, follow the scope of work and concept plan.**
 13. Benning Park:

- (i) Who is the owner of the fence along north side of the property against the apartments? **Response: The information is not available. There is no work on this fence line.**
 - (ii) What is to be covered in the security system? We are only asked to provide a wireless security camera. **Response: Provide sufficient security cameras to view the entire site.**
 - (iii) Is DGS going to provide additional power if more power is needed past the power currently on site? **Response: Bidders are required to provide a fully working system.**
 - (iv) The new Musco lighting system is to be mounted where? **Response: Section G require new lights to cover dark spot which will require bidders to perform due diligence as to where the pole locations are required.**
14. Fort Stevens: (i) All we allowed to leave the current 10' tall tennis court fence in lieu of demo and replacing with a 8' tall fence? **Response: Replace the fence as required in the RFP.**
- (ii) We must have a DGS layout for all Security Lighting. **Response: Provide a design for security lighting per the RFP and conceptual design.**
15. Banneker: Which Lighting pole design are we to use? The one in the concept design or the DPR standard? **Response: Install the DPR standard light pole.**
16. All Parks: Will DGS cover the cost of permitting (fees and expediting)? What kind of permitting assistance will DGS provide? **Response: Design Builder will prepare all necessary documents for permitting and expediting. DGS will reimburse Building Permit fees.**
17. All Parks: Please clarify whether stone dust is an acceptable surface for the community garden areas. **Response: Yes.**
18. Hamilton: Has DGS already secured approval from DDOT for the new curb cut to accommodate the new maintenance entrance? If not, please clarify what kind of assistance DGS will provide in securing this approval. **Response: No. DGS will assist in any approval with DDOT.**
19. Benning: RFP specifies asphalt paths in curved designs. Should bidders include concrete curbs around these walkways to support curved designs? **Response: Provide a metal edging to control the asphalt path design.**
20. Benning: How many laser cut dragon panels are required? **Response: Provide six (6) panels with final location to be determined.**
21. Upshur Park: Are any bulletin boards required for this park? **Response: Yes, per DPR standards.**

22. Upshur Park: Please advise if the bidder should include an allowance for stormwater management measures required by DDOE, or if this will be covered by a change order. **Response: Provide all storm water design and construction costs necessary for the site to be approved by DDOE standards.**
23. Upshur Park: Are any water fountains required for this park? **Response: No.**
24. Harry Thomas Sr: Rendering shows three walkways that culminate in plazas – (1) from recreation center to courts, specified as concrete and shown in grey; (1) from vertical sculpture to green roof shade structure, shown in beige; (1) from green roof shade structure to storage structure, shown in brown. Please clarify desired material for the latter two walkways shown in beige and brown on the rendering. **Response: Provide a hardscape ADA accessible walkway that is compatible with the themed design of the park.**
25. Harry Thomas Sr: Does DPR require any new fencing in this park? Other than temporary fence and vinyl chain link fencing near entrance, no fencing is described in the RFP. **Response: See section F. Site Amenities item 1. Fencing and gates.**
26. Harry Thomas Sr: Please clarify where the two entrances from the rain garden to the play areas will be. Currently, the RFP describes a new terraced entrance in (2) locations to the playground area, but this is not shown on the conceptual plan. **Response: Provide two entrances (the circles adjacent sidewalk on DPR property) from the public sidewalk into the park. The first entrance starts at the “vertical structure” from the public sidewalk, which should be ADA accessible.**
27. Harry Thomas Sr: RFP specifies concrete planting beds, however, concrete beds do not drain well, and do not allow adequate flow of air and water into the root system – additionally, they are prone to chipping and damage, and can be costly to replace. Would DPR accept timber planting beds instead? Timber would better support root systems, drainage, and provide easier maintenance going forward. **Response: Design a concrete planting bed that functions as required for the community garden. Bidder can propose a timber planting bed as an alternate to the RFP specified concrete bed.**
28. Harry Thomas Sr: Please clarify what type of adult fitness equipment is required. Adult fitness equipment is shown on rendering but not described in RFP scope. **Response: Provide adult fitness at the location indicated on the conceptual plan.**
- Fitness Equipment list:**
- 1) Pull up & Dip Station #PDIP manufactured by: TriActive America
 - 2) Sit-up Board #SITB1 manufactured by: TriActive America
 - 3) Push up Bar #PSUP manufactured by: TriActive America
 - 4) Horizontal Bar #HBAR1 manufactured by: TriActive America
 - 5) Sit-Up Bench #STUP manufactured by: TriActive America

29. Harry Thomas Sr: Please clarify whether artificial turf or natural turf is required in curved areas near green roof shade structure and play areas. Conceptual plan labels these areas as turf, but they are not described in RFP scope. **Response: Provide artificial turf where “turf” is indicated.**
30. Harry Thomas Sr: Please clarify what type of plantings are desired in areas marked “Planting” on conceptual drawing. These areas are not mentioned in RFP scope. **Response: Provide landscaping and plantings necessary to achieve a design compatible with the playground theme.**
31. Harry Thomas Sr: Please clarify whether bidders are required to provide a “vertical sculpture” as shown on conceptual drawings. If so, please provide an allowance amount or design parameters. **Response: Provide an allowance of \$20,000 for vertical sculpture.**
32. Harry Thomas Sr: The RFP mentions performance space and performance space seating, however this is not shown on the conceptual drawing. Please clarify amount and location of performance space seating that is required. Please clarify size, location, and desired materials for performance space if required. **Response: “Provide performance space” refers to a small area under the pavilion for “performances”. The layout of park furniture to be reviewed by DGS/DPR.**
33. Harry Thomas Sr: Rendered site plan shows bio retention area, but it is not described in RFP. Please clarify if design-builder is to incorporate a bioretention area into the project. **Response: Provide all items per conceptual design plan and described in the RFP. Provide bio retention area as indicated that meets DDOE standards and approval.**
34. Harry Thomas Sr: Rendered site plan shows fitness loop around multipurpose field. Please clarify if design-builder is to install these features, since they are not described in the RFP. If they are required, please clarify what materials and sizing should be used. **Response: Yes, provide the features listed in the RFP and concept plan.**
35. Please advise if the bidder should include an allowance for stormwater management measures required by DDOE, or if this will be covered by a change order. **Response: Provide all storm water design and construction costs necessary for the site to be approved by DDOE standards.**
36. Hillcrest: Should bidders include an allowance for a structural engineer to design the 7’ high movie wall **Response: Provide all necessary services and materials for a permanent 7’ high movie wall.**
37. Hillcrest: Rendering references a climbing wall, but this is not described in RFP scope. Please clarify whether a climbing wall is required. **Response: Yes, a climbing wall is required. Design should be appropriate for area age group 6-12.**

38. Hillcrest: Please clarify location of new parking lot as it is not shown on rendering. **Response: See concept drawing.**
39. Hillcrest: Rendered plan shows a walkway with two bridges connecting the parking lot to the tennis courts area. This is not described in the RFP. Please clarify if this is a required element. If so, please provide design criteria (materials, size, etc). **Response: There is no bridge, just continuation of the walkway.**
40. Newark: Should areas not covered in asphalt or safety surface be covered in sod, mulch, or other plantings? Please clarify materials for these areas. **Response: Yes, if not specified as hardscape provide landscape materials.**
41. Will bidders be notified about the 6 DPR Parks RFP prior to submitting bids for the 11 DPR Parks RFP? **Response: No.**

Item #2

The bid date remains unchanged. Proposals are due by **February 14, 2013 at 2:00 pm EST.** Proposals that are hand-delivered should be delivered to the attention of: Danyel Riley, Contract Specialist, at **Frank D. Reeves Center, 2000 14th Street, NW, 8th floor, Washington, DC 20009.**

- End of Addendum No. 2 -

